Category 2: Industry Excellence in Consulting, Research or Education

A Study of Fatigue Damage of Foamed Bitumen Stabilised (FBS) Materials for Pavement Design

Dr Sameera Pitawala SPARC Hub, Monash University

SP/\RC HUB Smart Pavements Australia Research Collaboration

2021 AustStab Awards of Excellence

Proudly sponsored by **CATERPILLAR**[®]



Research Aims

The main aim of this research is, ultimately, to develop a more rational and advanced pavement design approach to maximize the performance of Foamed Bitumen Stabilised pavement materials

Specific Aims

- To understand:
 - Fundamental properties relevant to the mix design and flexural fatigue characteristics;
 - The influence of flexural strength and modulus on fatigue performance;
 - The relationship between IDT testing and flexural beam testing; and
 - The effects of temperature, density and age of curing on the dynamic flexural properties of FBS materials.
- To devise an appropriate test method for FBS materials to resolve the following key <u>issues</u> in relation to flexural beam testing:
 - Selection of the appropriate mode of loading for FBS materials (Strain-control or Stress control);
 - Selection of shape of stress/strain waveform mostly suitable for FBS materials; and
 - Selection of the best results analysing method.
- To maximise the flexural fatigue performance by optimizing the mix design of FBS materials:
 - Bitumen content;
 - Lime content; and
 - Density of FBS material.
- To develop a fatigue performance prediction model for FBS mixtures under variable test conditions
- To validate the experimental data using available actual pavement performance data (Accelerated Loading Facility ALF).



What is Foamed Bitumen Stabilisation?



Proudly sponsored by **CATERPILLAR**[®]



Distinct characteristics of FBS materials

$$N = RF\left[\frac{6918(0.856V_b + 1.08)}{E^{0.36}f_{lex}\mu s}\right]^{5}$$

$$V_{b}$$
 = bitumen percentage by volume;
E=asphalt resilient modulus
 $\mu \epsilon$ = load-induced tensile strain at base of the asphalt (microstrain)

$$\frac{3500}{2261} \frac{2261}{460} \frac{1}{460} \frac{1}{2288} \frac{2168}{100} \frac{1}{100} \frac{1}{100$$

50

 $R^2 = 0.8452$

1.0E+05

Number of Cycles

1.0E+04

Asphalt

FBS

0

11°C

22°C

Temperature

Flexural Modulus —Flexural Strength

Aust Stab

 $R^2 = 0.9164$

1.0E+06

 $R^2 = 0.8258$

1.0E+08

1.0E+07



31°C

0

Development of fatigue life prediction model under stress-controlled mode







Proudly sponsored by **CATERPILLAR®**

Fatigue life prediction under stresscontrolled mode – SPARK Model 2



$a_{2.2,T,3} = -21.74(T) + 1249.4$	Equation 1
b _{2.2,T,3} = -0.09	Equation 2
$a_{\rho,22^{\circ}C,3\%} = -3133.3(\rho) + 7694.3$	Equation 3
$b_{\rho,22^{\circ}C,3\%} = 0.5167(\rho)-1.231$	Equation 4
a _{2.2,22°C,bi} = -509.5(bi)-452.5	Equation 5
b _{2.2,22°C,bi} = -0.033(bi)-0.0277	Equation 6

- · Step 1. Calculate the "a" and "b" values using Equation 3 and Equation 4, respectively
- Step 2. Back calculate the corresponding temperature values by substituting previously found "a" value to Equation 1.
- Step 3. Find the reduced temperature for "a" value.
- Step 4. Calculate the "a" value again by substituting Rt_a value to Equation 1
- Step 5. Back calculate the corresponding bitumen content values by substituting previously found "a" and "b" values to Equation 5 and Equation 6, respectively.
- Step 6. Find the reduced bitumen content for "a" and "b" values separately.
- Reduced bitumen content (Rbi_a) = bi_a (2.33%)– [base bitumen content (3%) required
- Step 7. Calculate again the "a" and "b" values by substituting Rbi_a and Rbi_b values to Equation 3 and Equation 4, respectively.



Validation of fatigue prediction using existing data set



Validation of fatigue prediction using a new data set



Fatigue life prediction – SPARK Model 3a

Strain-controlled fatigue life prediction based on stress-controlled fatigue results



Fatigue life prediction – SPARK Model 3b

Strain-controlled fatigue life prediction in variable conditions

Key functions of the model

1) $N_f = f(\sigma, a, b)$

- 2) a,b = f(T) (for a particular FBS mixture)
- 3) E = f(T)
- 4) $(E/E_i) = f(N/N_f)$

Where, N_f is the number of load cycles, T is temperature, a and b are functions of temperature, E is current modulus and E_i is the initial modulus.

Stress-controlled modulus degradation under

variable temperature







3000 2500 Modulus E (MPa) 2000 1500 1000 500 0 0 200000 400000 600000 800000 1000000 1200000 Number of cycles N

SPARK models for field fatigue life predictions



Proudly sponsored by **CATERPILLAR®**



Development of new pavement design approach



Conclusions

- SPARK Model 2 can be used to predict laboratory fatigue life of FBS materials in stress-controlled mode at different test conditions
- It appears to have a normalised modulus degradation master curve irrespective of the stress level, temperature, and density
- The fatigue life in strain-controlled mode is approximately 20 times higher that in stress-controlled mode in the laboratory
- SPARK Model 3 is proposed to estimate the in-service fatigue life of FBS pavements and the model matches the fatigue test data obtained from ALF study

